



OFFICE *of the* ATTORNEY GENERAL  
GREG ABBOTT

December 3, 2002

Mr. Lance Beversdorff  
Staff Attorney  
Texas Youth Commission  
P.O. Box 4260  
Austin, Texas 78765

OR2002-6863

Dear Mr. Beversdorff:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 173027.

The Texas Youth Commission (the "TYC") received a request for the Level II hearing logs for the past two years. You claim that the identities of juvenile offenders, which you have highlighted, included with the responsive information are excepted from disclosure under section 552.101 of the Government Code. We have considered the exception you claim and reviewed the submitted representative sample of information.<sup>1</sup>

Section 552.101 of the Government Code excepts from disclosure "information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision." This section encompasses information protected by other statutes. You contend that the highlighted identities of juvenile offenders are confidential under section 61.073 of the Human Resources Code. Section 61.073 provides:

---

<sup>1</sup>We assume that the "representative sample" of records submitted to this office is truly representative of the requested records as a whole. See Open Records Decision Nos. 499 (1988), 497 (1988). This open records letter does not reach, and therefore does not authorize the withholding of, any other requested records to the extent that those records contain substantially different types of information than that submitted to this office.

The [TYC] shall keep written records of all examinations and conclusions based on them and of all orders concerning the disposition or treatment of each child subject to its control. Except as provided by Section 61.093(c), these records are not public and are available only according to the provisions of Section 58.005, Family Code, and Chapter 61, Code of Criminal Procedure.

Section 58.005(a) of the Family Code provides that “[i]nformation obtained for the purpose of diagnosis, examination, evaluation, or treatment . . . of a child by [an agency] providing supervision of a child by arrangement of the juvenile court or having custody of the child under order of the juvenile court” may only be disclosed to only certain individuals under certain circumstances. Upon review of the submitted document, we determine that the document is not a record of the examination of a child in the custody of the TYC, nor is the document an order concerning the disposition or treatment of a child in the custody of the TYC. Therefore, we determine section 61.073 does not apply to the information at issue. Accordingly, the requested information cannot be withheld under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with section 61.073 of the Human Resources Code.

Section 552.101 also encompasses the doctrine of common-law privacy. Common-law privacy protects information if (1) the information contains highly intimate or embarrassing facts the publication of which would be highly objectionable to a reasonable person, and (2) the information is not of legitimate concern to the public. *Industrial Found. v. Texas Indus. Accident Bd.*, 540 S.W.2d 668, 685 (Tex. 1976), *cert. denied*, 430 U.S. 931 (1977). The type of information considered intimate and embarrassing by the Texas Supreme Court in *Industrial Foundation* included information relating to sexual assault, pregnancy, mental or physical abuse in the workplace, illegitimate children, psychiatric treatment of mental disorders, attempted suicide, and injuries to sexual organs. *Id.* at 683. Accordingly, you must withhold the names of the juvenile offenders that you have highlighted under section 552.101 in conjunction with the doctrine of common-law privacy. *Cf.* Fam. Code § 58.007. The remainder of the submitted information must be released to the requestor.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov’t Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney

general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).


If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, within 10 calendar days of this ruling, the governmental body will do one of the following three things: 1) release the public records; 2) notify the requestor of the exact day, time, and place that copies of the records will be provided or that the records can be inspected; or 3) notify the requestor of the governmental body's intent to challenge this letter ruling in court. If the governmental body fails to do one of these three things within 10 calendar days of this ruling, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at 877/673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Department of Public Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.--Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Texas Building and Procurement Commission at 512/475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. We note that a third party may challenge this ruling by filing suit seeking to withhold information from a requestor. Gov't Code § 552.325. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Maverick F. Fisher".

Maverick F. Fisher  
Assistant Attorney General  
Open Records Division

MFF/seg

Ref: ID# 173027

Enc: Submitted documents

c: Mr. Woodrow Jones  
Route 4, Box 410  
Crockett, Texas 75835  
(w/o enclosures)